

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/420,885	10/19/1999	HEDY SHAD	ABC0105	3398	
34356	7590 08/21/2003				
ASHKAN NA	•	EXAMINER			
	GHTER LANE DA BEACH, FL 32082	ELISCA, PIERRE E			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621		
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/420,885

Applicant(s)

Hedy, Shad

Examiner

Pierre E. Elisca

Art Unit 3621

		110110 2. 2.11000					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with th	ne corres	pondence address	NOW !		
	for Reply						
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				7 //		
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	days will be m the mailing NED (35 U.S.	e considered timely. ng date of this communication S.C. § 133).	\		
Status	pateri tom asjection Color I and I a						
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	7/19/2003			•		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This act	ction is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
· ·	ition of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>		is/are	au pending in the app	lication.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are	e withdrawn from c	onsideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 🔀	Claim(s)/- //6			is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to	o restric	tion and/or election	requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) 🗆 accepted or b) 🗆	objecte	ed to by the Examina	er.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. Ser	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ ap [,]	proved	b) disapproved b	y the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	i 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).			
a) ∟	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Applic	cation N	10	·		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		this National Stage	,		
14) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·		(0)			
a) [_						
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachm		priority enect of the		, unity of the second			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-4	413) Paper I	No(s)			
`	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent A	Application ((PTO-152)			
3) Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

- 1. This Office action is response to Applicant's amendment, filed on 05/19/2003.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over DeFrancesco et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,878,403) in view of McLaughlin et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,501,779).

As per claims 1, 5, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 23 and 24 DeFrancesco discloses a credit application and routing (routing or gateway) system includes a central processor having and executing a program. The system includes data input capabilities for selectively receiving credit application data from respective applicants at remote locations, and routing capabilities for selectively forwarding the credit application data to remote funding sources and selectively

Art Unit: 3621

forwarding funding decision data from the funding sources to the respective applicants (which is seen to read as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a computer-based system for a client to transmit a credit inquiry pertaining to a client customer to a credit bureau and receive a response to the inquiry from the credit bureau) the system comprising:

a client (or applicant) terminal having a web browser for entering and displaying the credit inquiry and the credit bureau response in HTML format, the client terminal being operated by the client (see., abstract, please note that DeFrancesco further discloses a central processor that is connected with a local area network or Internet or wire area network, and therefore, in order for the Applicant to receive credit report from the credit bureau over the Internet or local area network a HTML format is needed, and also col 1, lines 13-67, col 2, lines 1-3, fig 1A).

A central processing unit functioning as a web server, the CPU having and executing a common gateway (gateway or routing) interface CGI application program for directing the operations of the CPU and controlling the formatting and transmitting of the credit inquiry and credit bureau response between the client terminal and the credit bureau (see., abstract, fig 1A, items 113, 123a, 113b, 102, col 1, lines 13-67);

a first communications link for connecting the client terminal to the CPU, thereby facilitating the transfer of the credit inquiry from the client terminal to the CPU, and the transfer of the credit bureau response from the CPU to the client terminal, the first communications link comprising the Internet (see., abstract, fig 1A, col 1, lines 13-67, col 2, lines 1-3); and

Art Unit: 3621

a second communications link for connecting the CPU to the credit bureau, thereby facilitating the transfer of the credit inquiry from the CPU to the credit bureau, and the transfer of the credit bureau response from the credit bureau to the CPU, the second communications link comprising a dedicated line (see., abstract, fig 1A, col 1, lines 13-67, col 2, lines 1-3); whereby the format of the credit bureau response is converted and displayed to the client in HTML format, providing credit information to the client in a format that is more easily read understood than the format provided by the credit bureau (see., abstract, please note that DeFrancesco further discloses a central processor that is connected with a local area network or Internet or wire area network. Furthermore the credit bureau of DeFrancesco has a its own format (TTY), and therefore, in order for the Applicant to receive credit report from the credit bureau over the Internet or local area network a HTML format is needed, and also col 1, lines 13-67, col 2, lines 1-3, fig 1A).

It is to be noted that DeFrancesco fails to explicitly disclose Applicant's newly added limitation wherein said credit bureau response is converted from TTY format to HTML format. However, McLaughlin discloses a communication system that allows a remote user to access a web site across a PSTN using a conventional text device such as a TTY device. A web gateway module and configured to convert text data into a web data suitable for communication with a web site on the server and further configured to convert web data into a text data suitable for communication with the TTY. Please note that the web gateway module is capable of converting a web data such as HTML into TTY or vise versa see., abstract, col 8, lines 60-67, col 9, lines 1-19, col 11, lines

Art Unit: 3621

65-67, col 12, lines 1-15). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the credit application of DeFrancesco by incorporate the limitation detailed above as taught by McLaughlin because such modification would permit the credit application of DeFrancesco to accept different web sites using a conventional text device, such as a TTY format, and convert it into a HTML format.

5. Claims 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 20, 22, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over DeFrancesco et al and McLaughlin et al. in view of Katznelson (U.S.Pat. No. 5,010,571).

As per claims 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 20, 22, 25 and 26 DeFrancesco and McLaughlin substantially disclose the claimed limitations as recited in claim 1 above. It is noted that DeFrancesco and McLaughlin fail to disclose the steps of encrypting/decrypting credit inquiry. However, Katznelson discloses a credit data signal that contains the credit data in both encrypted/decrypted form (see., col 3, lines 36-51). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of DeFrancesco and McLaughlin by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Katznelson because it would provide a means for reporting the recorded amount of data retrieved from the file, and means for authenticating such report.

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 3, 12, DeFrancesco discloses the claimed limitations as stated in claim 2 above, wherein the means for entering the credit inquiry includes displaying electronic credit inquiry forms in HTML format in the web browser of the client terminal, the forms being provided by the CPU (see., abstract, Fig 1A, col 1, lines 13-67, col 2, lines 1-3, please note that DeFrancesco further discloses a central processor that is connected with a local area network or Internet or wire area network, and therefore, in order for the Applicant to receive credit report from the credit bureau over the Internet or local area network a HTML format is needed).

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 05/19/2003 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new ground (s) of rejection.

CONCLUSION

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 6:30AM to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 3621

Commissioner of Patents of Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

The Official Fax Number For TC-3600 is:

(703) 305-7687

Patent Examiner

Pierre Eddy Elisca

August 05, 2003